

# Review\_2.pdf

*by*

---

**Submission date:** 26-May-2023 06:09PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2102388749

**File name:** Review\_2.pdf (8.82M)

**Word count:** 10967

**Character count:** 54527



65

# Physical, mechanical, optical, and gamma radiation shielding properties of the BaO-based glass system prepared by the melt-quench technique: A review

Ardiansyah Ardiansyah<sup>a</sup>, Heryanto Heryanto<sup>a</sup>, Bidayatul Armynah<sup>a</sup>, Hassan Salah<sup>b,c</sup>, Abdelmoneim Sulieman<sup>d</sup>, David A. Bradley<sup>e,f</sup>, Dahlang Tahir<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, 90245, Indonesia

<sup>b</sup> INAYA Medical Collage, Nuclear Medicine Department, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup> College of Medical Radiologic Science, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan

<sup>d</sup> Department of Radiology and Medical Imaging Sciences, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 422, Alkharj, 11942, Saudi Arabia

<sup>e</sup> Centre for Nuclear and Radiation Physics, Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom

<sup>f</sup> Centre for Applied Physics and Radiation Technologies, School of Engineering and Technology, Sunway University, 47500, Bandar Sunway, Selangor, Malaysia

## ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Dr. Chris Chantler

### Keywords:

Barium oxide  
Melt-quench technique  
Gamma-ray shielding  
Physical properties  
Mechanical properties  
Optical properties

## ABSTRACT

This article presents the physical, mechanical, optical, and radiation shielding properties of several articles discussing BaO-based glass systems prepared by the melt-quench technique. Specifically, the article discussed is an article that reports experimentally the effectiveness of BaO-based glass systems as shielding gamma-ray radiation at an energy of 0.662 MeV published since 2019. In addition, we also compare the theoretical effectiveness of several glass systems by recalculating using Phy-X/PSD based on the respective compositions reported by previous studies at several energies, namely: 0.284, 0.347, 0.662, 0.826, 1.173, 1.333, and 2.506 MeV. Based on the analysis, the Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-BaO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass system is the best in terms of absorbing radiation. But it still needs to be developed to get the best physical, mechanical, and optical properties. With this article, scholars can more easily determine the composition of a BaO-based glass system to be applied as a more effective and high-quality radiation shield.

## 1. Introduction

Photons are uncharged radiation that can <sup>27</sup>ze matter even at low energies, such as ultraviolet li<sup>27</sup> because low-energy photons can create dense ionization clusters. Low-energy photons can transfer most or all of their energy to matter and create secondary electrons, further enhancing ionization (Mohammad Rafiei et al., 2022a, 2022b). Then, high-energy photons such as gamma rays, apart from being able to ionize matter, also have very short wavelengths and have higher abilities than low-energy photons in terms of penetr<sup>85</sup>; the material they are subjected to (Ardiansyah et al., 2022; Asal et al., 2021). Ionizing radiation can cause damage to the cell structure of living organisms it is subjected to because the energy is <sup>83</sup>cient to remove electrons from atoms or ionize matter (Ardiansyah et al., 2022; Gharissah et al., 2022). Therefore, the widespread application of radiation technology in several

fields, such as agriculture, power generation, medicine, research centers, and aerospace applications can cause severe problems in the surrounding environment (Akman et al., 2022; Echewezo et al., 2021). The resulting problems include mutation, cancer, stillbirth, infertility, newborn children's physical and mental disorders (Echewezo et al., 2021). To reduce or keep the radiation dose that hits the object not exceeding the threshold, the As Low as Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) principle is applied. The three principles contained in ALARA to protect the environment from the dangers of ionizing radiation are time, distance, and shielding (Kim and Tseren, 2020). Shielding is a material that absorbs incoming radiation so that the intensity of passing radiation changes to a safe level (Muhammad et al., 2022; Rahmat et al., 2023).

Until now, shielding continues to experience development, several types of shielding that always attract attention, namely concrete-based shielding (Ardiansyah et al., 2023), polymer (Almurayshid et al.,

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [dtahir@fmipa.unhas.ac.id](mailto:dtahir@fmipa.unhas.ac.id) (D. Tahir).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2023.111059>

Received 22 February 2023; Received in revised form 22 May 2023; Accepted 23 May 2023

Available online 16 May 2023

0969-806X/© 2023 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

2021), steel (Aygün, 2020) and glass (Abdel-Wahed et al., 2020). Compared to other types of shielding, the development of glass-based radiation shields is one of the most popular types. The literature shows tellurite, phosphate, borate, silicate, germinate, and barite oxides are the most well-known oxide-based glass formers (El-Zaidia et al., 1985; Hampton et al., 1987, 1988; Sidkey et al., 2002). In the articles reported by (Abdel-Wahed et al., 2020; Kaur et al., 2016), barite oxide (BaO) can improve the quality of formed glass. The use of barium attracts attention because of its high density, low toxicity, low price, abundant availability in nature, and even the properties of the composite structure will be better by making the structure compact (Kaur et al., 2019).

Another factor that affects the properties of composite materials as radiation shields is the method of manufacture. The methods that have been widely used are constituents of a glass, sol-gel method, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and melt-quench technique (Kaur et al., 2016). The melt-quench technique is the most widely used method for making glass composites because of its simplicity. This method generally has stages as shown in Fig. 1 (Muramoto et al., 2018). All precursors or raw materials are mixed into one container in the initial stage. In the second stage, a furnace melts the mixture at high temperatures. Some researchers use temperatures 1300 °C (Aly et al., 2020), 950 °C (Boonin et al., 2013), 1000 °C (Singh et al., 2021), 1100 °C (Mhareb et al., 2021), 1300 °C (Zakaly et al., 2021), and 1400 °C (M. H.A. Mhareb et al., 2020). The third stage, melted glass is poured into a mould to get a glass sample with the desired shape. In the last stage, the glass sample is removed or removed from the mould.

The parameters that are often used to assess the ability of glass composites as radiation shields are linear attenuation coefficient ( $\mu$ ), mass attenuation coefficient ( $\mu_m$ ), mean free path (MFP), tenth value layer (TVL), half value layer (HVL), atomic cross-section ( $\sigma_{t,a}$ ), electronic cross-section ( $\sigma_{t,e}$ ), effective electron density ( $N_{eff}$ ), effective atomic number ( $Z_{eff}$ ), and build-up factor (Ardiansyah et al., 2023; Khazaalah et al., 2022; M. M. Rafiei et al., 2020; Mustafa Mohammad Rafiei et al., 2020; Rafiei and Tavakoli-Anbaran, 2018). In several articles, experimentally, the radiation parameter values obtained were compared with theoretical values defined from XCOM, Geant4, FLUKA, MCNP, and/or Phy-X/PSD (Aşkın et al., 2020; Aşkın et al., 2019; Şakar et al., 2020). In addition, other properties such as physical, mechanical, optical properties are also considered in several studies (Aladailah et al., 2022; Mahmoud et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2021). Physical properties were

observed to determine the glass samples' tightness, rigidity, and structural change (Aboalatta et al., 2021).

As far as our investigation, no literature has been found that specifically discusses the use of BaO as a glass composite material made by a melt-quenching technique as a shield for gamma-ray radiation. Based on this, this paper presents data on the properties of glass-based radiation shielding materials containing BaO, such as physical, mechanical, optical, and radiation shielding properties obtained from several published literature in recent years.

## 2. Interaction of gamma rays with matter

Three possible interactions occur when gamma-ray photons from nuclear reactions interact with matter. The energy of the incoming photons strongly influences the interaction that occurs. If the incoming photon energy ranges from 0.01 MeV to 0.5 MeV, then the dominating interaction is the photoelectric effect. The dominant Compton effect occurs when the photon energy is  $> 0.5$  MeV. Then, pair production occurs at high energy ( $\geq 1.02$  MeV) (L'Annunziata, 2020; Murray and Holbert, 2015). The mechanism of the three interactions can be seen in Fig. 2.

### 2.1. Photoelectric effect

An illustration of the photoelectric effect can be seen in Fig. 2a. The photoelectric effect is a phenomenon that occurs when an atom completely absorbs incoming photon energy. All the absorbed photon energy is transferred to the electron in this state. When the incoming photon energy exceeds the electron's binding energy, the electron can be detached from the atom and produce ions. The energy of the emitted electron is equal to the energy of the incident photon minus the electron's binding energy. The released electrons (photoelectrons) can cause secondary ionization events as they move through the surrounding material (Kaur et al., 2016; L'Annunziata, 2020; Murray and Holbert, 2015).

### 2.2. Rayleigh scattering

Rayleigh scattering is a type of scattering interaction between low-energy photons and electrons tightly bound in atoms. This interaction, also called coherent or elastic scattering, occurs by temporarily




Fig. 1. Glass composite fabrication process using the melt-quench technique.




Fig. 2. Three mechanisms occur when photons interact with matter (a) Photoelectric effect, (b) Rayleigh scattering, (c) Compton scattering, (d) Electron-positron pair production, and (e) Pair production in the electron field.

increasing the energy of electrons without removing them from the atom. After that, the electron returns to its previous energy level by emitting a gamma ray photon of the same energy in a slightly different direction, as shown in Fig. 2b (Obodovskiy, 2019).

### 2.3. Compton scattering

An illustration of Compton scattering can be seen in Fig. 2c. The Compton effect is a phenomenon in which an electron in an atom absorbs some of the photon's energy and then deflects it at an angle  $\Phi$ . In contrast, the scattered electrons are thrown at an angle  $\theta$  off trajectory. The result of these interactions is the formation of ions. However, the deflected photon continues to travel through matter until all of its kinetic energy is exhausted by interacting with other electrons in the same way or through some other interaction mechanism (Kaur et al., 2016; L'Annunziata, 2020; Murray and Holbert, 2015).

### 2.4. Electron-positron pair production

Another mechanism that occurs when a photon hits a medium is the pair production illustrated in Fig. 2d. Pair production can occur when an incident photon has energy  $\geq 1.022$  MeV. When the photon approaches the atomic nucleus, the photon is destroyed and gives rise to two particles, namely negatron ( $e^-$ ) and positron ( $e^+$ ). When the positron collides with an electron, the particle is annihilated, giving rise to two new gamma rays with a total energy of 1.022 MeV. The two new photons emitted in opposite directions result from the law of conservation of momentum (Kaur et al., 2016; L'Annunziata, 2020; Murray and Holbert, 2015).

### 2.5. Pair production in the electron field

The interaction scheme between photons and matter in Fig. 2e is known as pair production in the electron field or triplet production. This interaction occurs when an incident photon has energy  $\geq 2.044$  MeV. Triplet production is a particular case of pair production that occurs near orbital electrons. Photons are annihilated, and their energy creates electrons and positrons. Orbital electrons also gain energy and are released from the atom (Chu et al., 2008; Hubbell, 2006).

## 3. Theoretical background

### 3.1. Physical properties

To determine some of the physical parameters of a material, basic information about it is density. The density of a glass composite can be determined by the Archimedes principle as shown in equation (1) (Aboud et al., 2022):

$$\rho = \frac{W_{air}}{W_{air} - W_{liquid}} \times \rho_{liquid} \quad (1)$$

where,  $W_{air}$  and  $W_{liquid}$  are the weight of the sample in air and liquid, respectively. Based on the value of density ( $\rho$ ) and molar mass ( $M$ ), the molar volume of a glass composite can be determined using equation (2). Molar volume ( $V_m$ ) is the volume occupied by 1 mol of a chemical element or compound at standard temperature and pressure (STP). The  $V_m$  is defined as the volume of the substance containing one mol% (Madshal et al., 2021).

$$V_m = \frac{M}{\rho} \quad (2)$$

The ion concentration ( $N$ ), Polarons radius ( $r_p$ ), inter-nuclear distance ( $r_i$ ), and field strength ( $F$ ) were computed also according to the following formulas, respectively (M H A Mhareb et al., 2020):

$$N = \frac{\text{mole\%} \times \rho \times N_A}{M_i} \quad (3)$$

$$r_p = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{6N} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (4)$$

$$r_i = \left( \frac{1}{N} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (5)$$

$$F = \frac{Z}{r_p^2} \quad (6)$$

Where mole% is the mole fraction per cent,  $A$  is the mass number of barium. Ion concentration is a parameter used to determine the effect of alkaline metal cations on the properties of the host material. Polarons are quasiparticles that arise due to interactions between charge carriers and lattice ion vibrations, which are highly ionic or polar solid materials




Fig. 3. The scheme of search strategy and data selection will be discussed in this article.

(Geidam et al., 2022). Polarons are pseudo particles that describe the interactions between electrons and ions and are also used to understand the interactions between electrons and atoms in solid materials (Madshal et al., 2021). The internuclear distance is the distance between two nuclei in a molecule.

On the other hand, there are some essential structural properties such as optical packing density (OPD), oxygen molar volume (OMV), packing density ( $V_i$ ), and Poisson's ratio ( $\sigma$ ). Oxygen packing density measures the compactness of glass structure by determining the packing of oxygen ions in a glass system. Oxygen molar volume represents the molar volume that contains one mol of oxygen atoms (Singh et al., 2021). Packing density was defined as the ratio between the minimum fraction of volume occupied by the ions and the corresponding effective volume of glass (Madshal et al., 2021). The packing density informs the atomic packing (Kaur et al., 2019). Poisson's ratio is defined as the ratio between lateral and longitudinal strain produced when tensile force is applied (Saddeek et al., 2018). These parameters were deduced from molar volume values and calculated using the following equations (M H A Mhareb et al., 2020):

$$OPD = \left(\frac{\rho}{M}\right) \times n \times 1000 \quad (7)$$

$$OMV = \frac{V_m}{\sum_i x_i n_i} \quad (8)$$

$$V_i = \sum_i \frac{V_i x_i}{V_m} \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma = 0.5 - \left(\frac{1}{7.2V_i}\right) \quad (10)$$

Where  $n$ ,  $n_i$ ,  $x_i$ ,  $V_i$  denote the total number of oxygen atoms, the fraction of oxygen atoms, the mole fraction of the oxides, and packing factor, respectively. Packing factor obtained for respective oxide constituent  $A_xO_y$  as (Aladailah et al., 2022):

$$V_i = 6.032 \times 10^{23} \times \frac{4}{3} \pi (xR_A^3 + yR_O^3) \quad (11)$$

$R_A$  and  $R_O$  are the ionic radii of metal A and oxygen O, respectively.

### 3.2. Mechanical properties

Mechanical properties must be known to understand a glass material's stiffness, strength, and hardness (Singh et al., 2021). The elastic constants are very interesting in solid structures because they are directly related to the interatomic forces and potentials (El-Mallawany et al., 1994). One of the theoretical models that can provide information about the mechanical properties of materials is the Makishima-Mackenzie model. This model considers the value of packing density ( $V_i$ ), oxide dissociation energy ( $G_i$ ), and mole fraction of the  $i$ -th oxide component ( $X_i$ ) to calculate the value of the young's modulus ( $Y$ ) of the glass system (Mahmoud et al., 2022):

$$Y = 83.6 V_i \sum_i G_i X_i \quad (12)$$

When  $G_i$  is entered in kcal/cm<sup>3</sup> units and the  $Y$  value is in kbar units. Other elastic modulus values such as bulk modulus ( $K$ ), shear modulus ( $S$ ), and longitudinal modulus ( $L$ ) in GPa units can be calculated using equation 13–15 (El-Moneim et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2021):

$$K = 10.0 V_i^2 G_i \quad (13)$$

$$S = \frac{3K}{(10.2 V_i - 1)} \quad (14)$$

$$L = K + \frac{4S}{3} \quad (15)$$

Total dissociation energy ( $G_i$ ) in units of kcal/cm<sup>3</sup> in equation (13) can be calculated using equation (16) (Singh et al., 2021):

$$G_i = \sum_i G_i X_i \quad (16)$$

In addition to the elastic modulus, the Makishima-Mackenzie model also offers an equation that can be used to determine the hardness ( $H$ ) of a glass sample by considering the Poisson ratio ( $\sigma$ ) and Young's modulus ( $Y$ ) as in equation (17) (Singh et al., 2021).

**Table 1**  
The chemical composition of several barium-based glass oxides that will be discussed in this article (alloys of metals and metalloids).

| Ref.                         | Barium based glass compositions (in weight percentage) |     |                               |     |                  |                   |                                |                  |      |                  |                   |     |                                |     | Sample Code |                  |     |                               |      |
|------------------------------|--|-----|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------|------------------|-------------------|-----|--------------------------------|-----|-------------|------------------|-----|-------------------------------|------|
|                              | Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>                         | BaO | B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | PbO | SiO <sub>2</sub> | Na <sub>2</sub> O | Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | TiO <sub>2</sub> | ZnO  | TeO <sub>2</sub> | Na <sub>2</sub> O | CaO | Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | SnO |             | MoO <sub>3</sub> | CaO | V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> | SLS  |
| Kaur et al. (2019)           | 65   | 10  | 25                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC01 |
| Almatiri et al. (20)         | -  | 5   | 50                            | 45  | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC02 |
| Aly et al. (2020)            | -  | 60  | 10                            | -   | 20               | 5                 | 5                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC03 |
| Askin et al. (2020)          | -  | 10  | 50                            | 40  | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC04 |
| M. H.A. Mhareb et al., 2020) | -  | 30  | 50                            | -   | 10               | -                 | -                              | 10               | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC05 |
| Boonin et al. (2020)         | -  | 25  | -                             | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | 10   | 65               | 20                | 10  | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC06 |
| Zakaly et al. (2021)         | -  | 30  | 20                            | -   | 15               | -                 | 5                              | -                | 10   | 30               | 10                | 10  | 5                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC07 |
| Aboualata et al. (2021)      | -  | 50  | 25                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | 10   | 30               | 10                | 10  | -                              | -   | 15          | -                | -   | -                             | SC08 |
| Tashlykov et al. (2021)      | 5  | 35  | 60                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | 12   | 28.8             | 10                | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC09 |
| D-Souza et al., (2021)       | 10   | 8   | 50                            | -   | 20               | -                 | -                              | -                | 28.8 | 30               | 10                | -   | -                              | 10  | 15          | -                | -   | -                             | SC10 |
| Dwarkat et al. (2021)        | -  | 20  | 25                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | 10  | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC11 |
| Khazaalah et al. (2022)      | 19.2   | 4   | 19.2                          | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC12 |
| Singh et al. (2022)          | -  | 30  | 50                            | -   | -                | -                 | 10                             | -                | -    | -                | 10                | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC13 |
| Aboud et al. (2022)          | 10   | 10  | 50                            | 20  | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | 10               | -   | -                             | SC14 |
| Fidan et al. (2022)          | -  | 5   | 35                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | 34               | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC15 |
| Chinthakayala et al., (2022) | 45   | 45  | 10                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | 1                | -   | -                             | SC16 |
| Mahmoud et al. (2022)        | -  | 40  | 60                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | 35               | -                 | -   | -                              | 10  | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC17 |
| Mhareb et al. (2022)         | -  | 20  | 35                            | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | 50               | -                 | -   | -                              | -   | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC18 |
| Aladilah et al. (2022)       | -  | 40  | -                             | -   | 45               | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | 15                | -   | -                              | 10  | -           | -                | -   | -                             | SC19 |
| Imhedit et al. (2022)        | -  | 20  | -                             | -   | -                | -                 | -                              | -                | -    | -                | -                 | -   | -                              | 10  | 20          | -                | -   | -                             | SC20 |

$$H = \frac{(1 - 2\sigma)Y}{6(1 + \sigma)} \tag{17}$$

3.3. Optical properties

The basic information that needs to be known to determine the optical properties of a glass system is the energy gap ( $E_g$ ). By using the Mott and Davis equation (equation (18)), the value of  $E_g$  can be calculated (Mahmoud et al., 2022):

$$h\nu\alpha = A(h\nu - E_g)^n \tag{18}$$

Where  $h$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $A$  are plank constants, photon frequencies, absorption coefficients and constants, respectively. Based on the  $E_g$  value, the refractive index ( $n$ ) can be determined using equation (19) (M. H.A. Mhareb et al., 2020):

$$\frac{(n^2 - 1)}{(n^2 + 2)} = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{E_g}{20}} \tag{19}$$

Using the values of refractive index ( $n$ ), Dielectric constant ( $\epsilon$ ), optical dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ ), Reflection loss ( $R_L$ ), and transmission ( $T$ ) can be determined using equations (20) and (23) (Dwaikat et al., 2021):

$$\epsilon = n^2 \tag{20}$$

$$\epsilon' = n^2 - 1 \tag{21}$$

$$R_L = \frac{(n^2 - 1)}{(n^2 + 2)} \tag{22}$$

$$T = \frac{2n}{n^2 + 1} \tag{23}$$

Then, taking into account the value of the refractive index ( $n$ ) and the molar volume ( $V_m$ ), the molar refractivity ( $R_m$ ) can be calculated by equation (24). Molar refractivity informs about the total polarizability of a mole (Kaur et al., 2019).

$$R_m = V_m \frac{(n^2 - 1)}{(n^2 + 2)} \tag{24}$$

Two other quantities that can be calculated using the value of molar refractivity ( $R_m$ ) are molar polarizability ( $\alpha_m$ ) and metallization criteria ( $M$ ). The equation for calculating these two quantities is shown in equations (25) and (26):

$$\alpha_m = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi N_A}\right) R_m \tag{25}$$

$$M = 1 - \left(\frac{R_M}{V_M}\right) \tag{26}$$

In addition to the refractive index ( $n$ ), optical electronegativity ( $\chi$ ) can be determined based on the value of the band gap ( $E_g$ ). Optical electronegativity measures the attraction of electrons from the oxide ion attached to the ion. If the electronegativity is high, the ion strongly attracts electrons from the bound oxide ions, keeping the ions tightly bound in the network (Kaur et al., 2019). The relationship between  $\chi$  and  $E_g$  is contained in equation (27):

$$\chi = 0.2688 \times E_g \tag{27}$$

Based on the  $\chi$  value, electron polarizability ( $a_0$ ) and optical basicity ( $\Lambda$ ) can be calculated using equations (28) and (29):

$$a_0 = -0.9\chi + 3.5 \tag{28}$$

$$\Lambda = -0.5\chi + 1.7 \tag{29}$$

**Table 2**

The chemical composition of several barium-based glass oxides that will be discussed in this article (alloys of metals, metalloids, and non-metals).

| Ref.                 | Barium based glass compositions (in weight percentage) |                  |     |                   |                                |                  |                               | Sample Code |
|----------------------|--|------------------|-----|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
|                      | BaO  | SiO <sub>2</sub> | ZnO | Na <sub>2</sub> O | H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> | K <sub>2</sub> O | P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> |             |
| Mhareb et al. (2021) | 30   | -                | 10  | 10                | 50                             | -                | -                             | SC21        |
| Singh et al. (2021)  | 10   | 20               | -   | 7.5               | -                              | 7.5              | 55                            | SC22        |

**Table 3**

Physical properties of several glass systems.

| Properties  | Sample code |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |         |       |        |
|---|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------|
|   | SC01        | SC05   | SC06   | SC08   | SC09   | SC12   | SC13   | SC15   | SC17   | SC18    | SC20  | SC22   |
| Molar volume (V <sub>m</sub> ) (cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)       | 35.886      | 25.229 | 28.143 | 28.210 | 25.845 | 28.520 | 30.503 | 26.210 | 28.287 | 25.067  | -     | 41.596 |
| Concentration (N) × 10 <sup>23</sup> (ion/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | -           | 7.16   | -      | 1.067  | -      | -      | 5.921  | -      | -      | 4.804   | -     | 0.005  |
| Polaron radius (r <sub>p</sub> ) × 10 <sup>-9</sup>         | -           | 4.505  | -      | 8.497  | -      | -      | 4.799  | -      | -      | 5.146   | -     | 23.464 |
| Inter-nuclear distance (r <sub>p</sub> ) × 10 <sup>-8</sup> | -           | 1.1178 | -      | 2.1083 | -      | -      | 1.1908 | -      | -      | 1.2767  | -     | 5.8217 |
| Field strength (F) × 10 <sup>18</sup>                       | -           | 6.770  | -      | 3.601  | -      | 0.031  | 8.364  | -      | -      | 5.189   | -     | -      |
| Open packing density (OPD) (g.atom/l)                       | 77.151      | 87.200 | 109.34 | 56.717 | -      | 72.09  | 72.124 | -      | -      | 81.7812 | -     | 81.738 |
| Oxygen molar volume (OMV) (cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)            | 12.962      | 11.467 | -      | 17.631 | -      | -      | 13.865 | -      | -      | 12.2277 | -     | -      |
| Packing density (V <sub>i</sub> )                           | 0.594       | 0.695  | -      | 0.525  | 0.683  | -      | -      | -      | -      | 0.6513  | 1.02  | 0.61   |
| Poisson's ratio (σ)   | 0.266       | 0.403  | -      | 0.236  | 0.297  | -      | 0.259  | 0.25   | 0.282  | -       | 0.364 | 0.27   |

**Table 4**

Mechanical properties of various glazing systems.

| Properties                     | Sample code |        |       |         |       |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
|                                | SC09        | SC15   | SC17  | SC20    | SC22  |
| Young's modulus (Y) (GPa)      | 99.73       | 134.97 | -     | 111     | 68.61 |
| Bulk modulus (B) (GPa)         | 81.75       | 89.98  | -     | 136     | 50.31 |
| Shear modulus (S) (GPa)        | 38.45       | 53.99  | -     | 40.7    | 28.73 |
| Longitudinal modulus (L) (GPa) | 133.02      | 161.96 | -     | 167     | 88.62 |
| Hardness (H) (GPa)             | 5.21        | 8.998  | 5.252 | 3.68915 | 4.07  |

### 3.4. Gamma radiation shielding properties

The quantities that need to be determined to define the effectiveness of a material in absorbing radiation are: total linear attenuation coefficient ( $\mu$ ), total mass attenuation coefficient ( $\mu_m$ ), atomic cross-section ( $\sigma_{t,d}$ ), electronic cross-section ( $\sigma_{e,d}$ ), half-value layer (HVL), tenth value layer (TVL), mean free path (MFP), effective atomic number ( $Z_{eff}$ ), and electron density ( $N_{eff}$ ) (Ardiansyah et al., 2015; Kaur et al., 2016). The total linear attenuation coefficient describes the fraction of the absorber absorbed or scattered gamma rays per unit thickness. This value represents the number of atoms in a volume of material and the possibility of photons being scattered or absorbed by the nucleus or electrons of one of the atoms (Singh et al., 2015; Singh and Badiger, 2013). Based on Lambert's Law, the total linear attenuation coefficient

20

can be calculated through the following equation:

$$I = I_0 e^{-\mu x} \quad (30)$$

where  $I$  and  $I_0$  respectively indicate the intensity of the photons after and before passing through the glass sample,  $x$  is the thickness of the sample in cm units. Based on the value of the total linear attenuation coefficient and density ( $\rho$ ), the value of the total mass attenuation coefficient can be determined using equation (31). The total mass attenuation coefficient is a parameter that describes the fraction of photons removed by a glass sample per unit mass (B. Hiremath et al., 2023).

$$\mu_m = \frac{\mu}{\rho} = \frac{\ln(I_0/I)}{\rho x} \quad (31)$$

Other units that can be determined based on the  $\mu$  value are HVL, TVL, and MFP. HVL is the thickness of the interacting materials at which the intensity of the photon beam entering it is reduced by half. TVL describes the absorber thickness that attenuates the intensity of an incident photon beam by 90%. MFP is the average distance photons can travel in a matter before interacting (Singh et al., 2021) (Ardiansyah et al., 2023). These three quantities can be calculated based on the following equation:

$$HVL = \frac{\ln 2}{\mu} \approx \frac{0.693}{\mu} \quad (32)$$

$$TVL = \frac{\ln 10}{\mu} \approx \frac{2.303}{\mu} \quad (33)$$

**Table 5**

Optical properties of various glass systems.

| Properties   | Sample code |         |         |        |         |         |         |
|--|-------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
|  | SC01        | SC05    | SC06    | SC07   | SC11    | SC18    | SC20    |
| Energy gap (E <sub>g</sub> ) (eV)                          | 2.6200      | 3.3580  | 2.9120  | 3.3300 | 3.0010  | 3.4586  | 3.1084  |
| Refractive index (n)                                       | 2.5100      | 2.3060  | 1.8620  | 2.3135 | 2.3960  | 2.2834  | 2.3684  |
| Electronegativity ( $\chi$ )                               | 0.7043      | 0.9026  | 0.7827  | 0.8951 | 0.8060  | 0.9296  | 0.8355  |
| Dielectric constant ( $\epsilon$ )                         | 6.3001      | 5.3210  | 3.4670  | 5.3521 | 5.7440  | 5.2141  | 5.6097  |
| Optical dielectric constant ( $\epsilon'$ )                | 5.3001      | 4.3210  | 2.4670  | 4.3521 | 4.7440  | 4.2141  | 4.6097  |
| Molar refractivity (R <sub>m</sub> ) (cm <sup>3</sup> )    | 22.90       | 14.8910 | 12.6700 | -      | 15.7430 | 14.6428 | 15.9921 |
| Reflection loss (R <sub>L</sub> )                          | 0.6386      | 0.5900  | 0.4513  | 0.5920 | 0.6120  | 0.5841  | 0.6057  |
| Metallization criteria (M)                                 | 0.3619      | 0.4090  | 0.5480  | -      | 0.3870  | 0.4158  | 0.3942  |
| Electron polarizability (α <sub>0</sub> )                  | 2.8700      | 2.6870  | 2.7955  | 2.6944 | 2.7730  | 2.6632  | 2.7480  |
| Optical basicity (Λ)                                       | 1.2480      | 1.2480  | 0.8970  | 1.2524 | 1.2960  | 1.2351  | 1.2822  |
| Molar polarizability (α <sub>0</sub> ) × 10 <sup>-24</sup> | 9.0745      | 5.6610  | 5.0310  | -      | 6.2440  | 5.8078  | 6.3430  |
| Transmission (T)   | 0.6877      | 0.7290  | 0.8337  | 0.7284 | 0.7100  | 0.7349  | 0.7166  |

**Table 6** 103  
Density and radiation shielding properties of several glass systems obtained experimentally at 0.662 MeV.

| Sample code | Properties                  |                           |                              | 39       |          |          |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|             | $\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | $\mu_m$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /g) | HVL (cm) | TVL (cm) | MFP (cm) |
| SC01        | 4.942                       | 0.4814                    | 0.0974                       | 1.4400   | 4.7836   | 2.0775   |
| SC02        | 5.454 ± 0.055               | 0.4875                    | 0.0894                       | 1.4219   | 4.7235   | 2.0514   |
| SC03        | 3.8903 ± 0.001              | 0.3120                    | 0.0802                       | 2.2216   | 7.3801   | 3.2051   |
| SC04        | 5.312                       | 0.4664                    | 0.0878                       | 1.4862   | 4.9370   | 2.1441   |
| SC05        | 3.758 ± 0.002               | 0.2879                    | 0.0766                       | 2.4079   | 7.9989   | 3.4739   |
| SC06        | 5.3462 ± 0.0002             | 0.4040                    | 0.0756                       | 1.7156   | 5.6991   | 2.4751   |
| SC07        | 3.652                       | 0.2735                    | 0.0749                       | 2.5340   | 8.4179   | 3.6558   |
| SC08        | 4.020 ± 0.008               | 0.3094                    | 0.0770                       | 2.2406   | 7.4430   | 3.2325   |
| SC09        | 3.281                       | 0.2840                    | 0.0866                       | 2.4370   | 8.1077   | 3.5211   |
| SC10        | 4.140                       | 0.4426                    | 0.1069                       | 1.5662   | 5.2028   | 2.2595   |
| SC11        | 4.977                       | 0.3890                    | 0.0782                       | 1.7819   | 5.9192   | 2.5707   |
| SC12        | 5.249                       | 0.3244                    | 0.0618                       | 2.1378   | 7.0982   | 3.0848   |
| SC13        | 3.187                       | 0.2425                    | 0.0761                       | 2.8424   | 9.4940   | 4.1232   |
| SC14        | 3.975                       | 0.3685                    | 0.0927                       | 1.8810   | 6.2485   | 2.7137   |
| SC15        | 5.490                       | 0.5051                    | 0.0920                       | 1.3724   | 4.5589   | 1.9799   |
| SC16        | 7.145                       | 0.7216                    | 0.1010                       | 0.9370   | 3.1160   | 1.3857   |
| SC17        | 3.148 ± 0.062               | 0.2644                    | 0.0840                       | 2.6213   | 8.7077   | 3.7817   |
| SC18        | 4.7395                      | 0.3782                    | 0.0798                       | 1.8327   | 6.0881   | 2.6440   |
| SC19        | 3.513 ± 0.014               | 0.2740                    | 0.0780                       | 2.5296   | 8.4032   | 3.6494   |
| SC20        | 5.667                       | 0.4001                    | 0.0706                       | 1.7325   | 5.7552   | 2.4994   |
| SC21        | 3.754                       | 0.2842                    | 0.0757                       | 2.4391   | 8.1026   | 3.5189   |
| SC22        | 2.816                       | 0.2157                    | 0.0766                       | 3.2160   | 10.6747  | 4.6359   |

$$MFP = \frac{1}{\mu} \tag{34}$$

By using  $\mu_m$ , the values of total atomic cross-sections ( $\sigma_{t,a}$ ) and electronic cross-sections ( $\sigma_{t,el}$ ) can be determined based on equations (35) and (36). The total atomic cross-sections are the most likely individual processes by which photons interact with atoms (Abd-Allah et al., 2019).

$$\sigma_{t,a} = \frac{\mu_m}{N_A \sum_i^n (w_i/A_i)} \tag{35}$$

$$\sigma_{t,el} = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_i^n \frac{f_i A_i}{Z_i} (\mu_m)_i \tag{36}$$

Based on  $\sigma_{t,a}$  and  $\sigma_{t,el}$ , the effective atomic number ( $Z_{eff}$ ) can be determined using the following equation:

$$Z_{eff} = \frac{\sigma_{t,a}}{\sigma_{t,el}} \tag{37}$$

$Z_{eff}$  is a number that represents the number of atoms in materials, alloys, or compounds, and this value varies depending on the photon energy introduced by Hine in 1952. In addition, the radiation parameter can be calculated by considering  $\mu_m$  and  $\sigma_{t,el}$ , that is the effective electron

density ( $N_{eff}$ ). Effective electron density refers to the number of electrons per unit mass of a substance that interacts, and the likelihood of photon interaction increases when the electron density increases (Levet and Ozdemir, 2017). Electron density is the number of electrons per mass which indicates that the higher the value, the greater the possibility of photon interactions and can be calculated by the following formula (Boonin et al., 2020):

$$N_{el} = \frac{\mu_m}{\sigma_{t,el}} \tag{38}$$

Another radiation parameter that is very important to know is the Buildup factor. The value of this parameter indicates the possible conversion of a photon (Sabry et al., 2022). Buildup factors such as exposure buildup factors (EBF) and energy absorption buildup factors (EABFs) could be estimated (El-Mallawany et al., 2020). The two parameters we have to measure before buildup factors are R and  $Z_{eq}$ , shown in equations (39) and (40), respectively (El-Mallawany et al., 2020).

$$R = \frac{(\mu/\rho)_{Compton}}{(\mu/\rho)_{Total}} \tag{39}$$

$$Z_{eq} = \frac{Z_1(\log R_2 - \log R) + (\log R - \log R_1)}{\log R_2 - \log R_1} \tag{40}$$




Fig. 4. Comparison of density and HVL obtained experimentally at 0.662 MeV.




Fig. 5. (a) Linear attenuation coefficient ( $\mu$ ), and (b) Mass attenuation coefficient ( $\mu_m$ ) obtained via Phy-X/PSD software from five glass samples reporting the highest HVL experimentally.

where  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are the atomic numbers related to the ratios  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively. Then, the  $Z_{eq}$  is a virtual atomic number that describes the atomic number of the complex material when photons are scattered, and the  $Z_{eq}$  can be used to compute the GP-parameters through equation (41) (Sabry et al., 2022):

$$P = \frac{P_1 (\log Z_2 - \log Z_{eq}) + P_2 (\log Z_{eq} - \log Z_1)}{\log Z_2 - \log Z_1} \quad (41)$$

where  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  refer to the values of GP-fitting parameters corresponding to  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  at a certain energy, respectively.  $P$  is the GP-fitting parameter calculated via the Phy-X/PSD program. Then, using the  $P$  parameter, the EBF or/and EABF values can be calculated using equations (42) and (43) according to the conditions (El-Mallawany et al., 2020):

$$B(E, X) = 1 + \frac{b-1}{K-1} (K^x - 1), \rightarrow \text{for } K \neq 1 \quad (42)$$

$$B(E, X) = 1 + (b-1)x, \rightarrow \text{for } K = 1 \quad (43)$$

$E$  and  $X$  represent the primary photoenergy ( $X = 0.5$  to  $40$  mfp) and penetration depth (P.D.), respectively. In addition, the function  $K(E, X)$  can be evaluated by equation (44):

$$K(E, X) = Cx^d + d \frac{\tanh\left(\frac{x}{X_0} - 2\right) - \tanh(-2)}{1 - \tanh(-2)}, \rightarrow \text{for } x \leq 40 \text{ mfp} \quad (44)$$

Here, the combination of  $K(E, X)$  and  $X$  performs a multiplicative factor on the photon dose to assess the spectrum's shape.


#### 4. Data collection

The literature analyzed for this article's physical, mechanical, optical and radiation shielding properties was collected from Scopus by entering the keywords "BaO Shielding" or "Barium Shielding". A total of 1028 articles containing these keywords in the title, abstract, and keywords section are displayed. Furthermore, the search is limited to documents in the form of English articles published in the last 5 years, namely from 2019 to 2023. After these restrictions, the literature displayed remains 399 documents. The document is then exported as a comma-separated values (csv) file. The next step is to read the title, abstract, and contents of the articles that have been exported to find articles that report the effectiveness of BaO-based glass systems experimentally as shielding. Then, in line with our description in the introductory part of this article, we chose literature that uses the melt-quench technique method. Finally, the remaining 22 articles were selected to be discussed in this study. The scheme for selecting articles whose data will be used in this study can be seen in Fig. 3. The best data reported from each article are tabulated in Tables 1 and 2 accompanied by a sample code which will later be used for subsequent discussions.

#### 5. Discussion

##### 5.1. Physical properties

Physical properties are essential properties to be studied to clarify any changes in the structure of glass materials (M. H.A. Mhareb et al., 2020). Among the 22 glass material compositions in Tables 1 and 2, there were 12 samples whose physical properties were reported: SC01, SC05, SC08, SC09, SC12, SC13, SC15, SC17, SC18, SC20, and SC22. The physical properties of such glass samples are reported in articles such as molar volume ( $V_m$ ), ion concentration ( $N$ ), polaron radius



45  
Fig. 6. (a) Mean free path (MFP), (b) Tenth value layer (TVL), and (c) Half value Layer (HVL) obtained via Phy-X/PSD software from five glass samples reporting the highest HVL experimentally.

18  
( $r_p$ ), inter-nu5 ear distance ( $r_i$ ), field strength (F), oxygen packing density (OPD), oxygen molar volume (OMV), packing density ( $V_p$ ), and poisson's ratio ( $\sigma$ ) ditabulasikan pada Table 3. As confirmed from Tables 1 and it can be seen that samples SC01 and SC09 are Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-BaO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass systems, both of which differ only in the composition of the mixture where SC09 has a higher amount of BaO than SC01. Based

on the article (Kaur et al., 2019), where the composition of BaO and Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is varied (Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> re5 ins), it is reported that increasing BaO can reduce packing density. The packing density informs about packing atoms at17 increasing packing density impacts the expansion of74 glass system (Kaur et al., 2019; M. H.A. Mhareb et al., 2020). If only based on the amount of BaO, the packing density of SC09 should be lower than




Fig. 7. (a) Atomic Cross-Section ( $\sigma_a$ ) and (b) Electronic Cross-Section ( $\sigma_e$ ) obtained via Phy-X/PSD software from five glass samples reporting the highest HVL experimentally.

SC01. However, based on Table 3, the packing density of SC09 is greater than SC01 where SC09 and SC01 are 0.683 and 0.594, respectively. This led to speculation that in the  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3\text{-BaO-B}_2\text{O}_3$  glass system,  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  has a significant effect. This statement is in line with the results of the research reported by (Kaewkhao et al., 2008) on the  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  system  $x\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3:(60-x)\text{BaO}:40\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  with  $10 \leq x \leq 50$  (in mol%). Density and molar volume studies have shown that  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$  functions partly as a network modifier and partly as a network former in these glasses. Then in 2022, Mahmoud et al. reported that the molar volume of the  $40\text{BaO-}60\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  (SC17) glass system was higher than that of  $5\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3\text{-}35\text{BaO-}60\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  (SC09), which also contradicts the statement presented by Kaur et al., in 2019. These results further strengthen the previous statement. To get a BaO-based glass system that has a high packing density, it can be done by adding  $\text{SiO}_2$  and  $\text{TiO}_2$  as in the sample coded SC05. The  $\text{BaO-B}_2\text{O}_3\text{-SiO}_2\text{-TiO}_2$  glass system on SC05 has a higher packing density value than the  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3\text{-BaO-B}_2\text{O}_3$  glass system (SC01 and SC09), even the largest among other glass systems in Table 3.

## 5.2. Mechanical properties

There are 5 out of 22 BaO-based glass system sample codes whose mechanical properties have been reported in reference articles, namely SC09, SC15, SC17, SC20, and SC22. The mechanical properties of the five samples can be seen in Table 4. SC15 is the sample that has the highest young's modulus ( $Y$ ), shear modulus ( $S$ ), and hardness ( $H$ ), while SC20 is the sample with the highest bulk modulus and longitudinal modulus. The value of the elastic coefficient of the glass system containing tellurite with the addition of barium oxide like SC15 is about three times greater than that of the tellurite-based glass system without barium, as reported by (El-Mallawany et al., 1994). Based on the report of Mhareb et al., in 2021 observing the effect of BaO on the mechanical

properties of  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-Na}_2\text{O-K}_2\text{O-P}_2\text{O}_5\text{-BaO}$  (SC21) glass systems, a large amount of BaO will cause the elastic modulus value of glass to decrease. This decrease indicates that the glass structure has become stiffer and less impact-resistant. One reason for the decrease in the elastic modulus with increasing BaO may be because barium oxide ( $\text{BaO}$ ) dissociation energy is low. The statement regarding the decrease in the elastic modulus of the glass system due to dissociation energy is supported by the results of Imheidat et al., in 2022. According to a report by Imheidat et al., in 2022, decreasing the content of  $\text{TeO}_2$  and increasing  $\text{MoO}_3$  in the  $\text{MoO}_3\text{-TeO}_2\text{-BaO-SrO}$  (SC20) glass system, increase the dissociation energy per unit volume which is in line with the increase in the elastic modulus of the glass system. However, the statement regarding a decrease in the elastic modulus of glass due to an increase in BaO is not in line with the results of a study reported by Fidan et al., (2022). According to Fidan et al. in their article published in 2022, increasing the BaO content in the  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\text{-TeO}_2\text{-BaO-V}_2\text{O}_5$  (SC15) glass system was able to increase its elastic modulus. The increase in the elastic modulus of the glass is attributed to its hardness and high connectivity due to the increase in the number of lattice bonds per unit volume of glass and the average cross-link density in the glass (Fidan et al., 2022) Based on these statements and the composition of the glass system in Tables 1 and 2, it is speculated that the elastic modulus may very well depend on the addition of non-metallic elements to the glass system.

## 5.3. Optical properties

The list of sample codes in Table 1, whose optical properties are reported in the original article, is tabulated in Table 5. From the table, it can be seen that the  $E_g$  values range from 2.62 to 3.46 eV SC01 is the sample with the smallest  $E_g$  obtained from the  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3\text{-BaO-B}_2\text{O}_3$  glass system, namely 2.62 MeV. According to the reference article containing




Fig. 8. (a) Effective electron density ( $N_{\text{eff}}$ ) and (b) Effective atomic number ( $Z_{\text{eff}}$ ) obtained via Phy-X/PSD software from five glass samples that reported the highest HVL experimentally.

sample SC01, the  $E_g$  in the glass system can continue to decrease as the BaO composition is added. The decrease in the  $E_g$  is in line with the decrease in the value of optical electronegativity but increases the value of refractive index, molar refractivity, electron polarization, optical electronegativity, and optical basicity (Abd-Allah et al., 2019). Then in 2020, Mhareb et al. reported their research on the glass system BaO–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> (SC05), or it can be said that he replaced Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in SC01 with SiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>. In that study,  $E_g$  was obtained, which was more significant than the use of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which was equal to 3.36 eV. Then in SC11, Dwaikat et al. (2021) replaced Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in SC01 with TeO<sub>2</sub> and SrO to become a BaO–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–TeO<sub>2</sub>–SrO glass system. The  $E_g$  produced by this glass system is 3.00 eV. Furthermore, in 2022, Mhareb et al. observed the optical properties of the BaO–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–TeO<sub>2</sub> glass system (SC18), the  $E_g$  value obtained was 3.46 eV. Based on these results, it can be said that the addition of SrO oxide to the BaO–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–TeO<sub>2</sub> glass system can reduce its  $E_g$ .

#### 5.4. Shielding properties

Table 1 shows that the material most often combined with BaO to form a glass system for gamma-ray radiation shielding application is B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The use of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as an admixture in a glass system is due to its low melting point, viscosity, chemical resistance, thermal stability, optical transparency, and mechanical properties (Dwaikat et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2022; Tashlykov et al., 2021; Zakaly et al., 2021). Other materials often combined with BaO are Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, ZnO, TeO<sub>2</sub>, and Na<sub>2</sub>O. The density and radiation shielding properties of the BaO-based glass system experimentally at energy 0.662 MeV can be seen in Table 6. Samples SC01 and SC09, the same Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–BaO–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass system, have different HVL, namely 1.44 and 2.43 cm, respectively. The better ability of SC01 is due to the high composition of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which plays a role in increasing

the density of the sample. Then in 2022, Chinthakayala et al. also observed the effectiveness of the Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–BaO–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (SC16) glass system with a lower Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composition than SC01 but an increased amount of BaO. This study showed that the density of SC16 was much greater than that of SC01 and SC09, so it affected a lower HVL. The effectiveness of SC16 as a radiation shield suggests that BaO is a potential material to replace lead-based radiation shields. This statement is supported by the lower HVL SC16 than samples containing PbO, such as SC02, SC04, and SC14. Overall, the comparison of density and HVL of all samples can be seen in Fig. 4.

To get a radiation shield free of lead, it is necessary to study some samples with good shielding effectiveness. Five lead-free samples have been reported to have the lowest HVL values at 0.662 MeV experimentally: SC16, followed by SC15, SC01, SC10, and SC06. Furthermore, several shielding parameters such as total linear attenuation coefficient ( $\mu$ ), total mass attenuation coefficient ( $\mu_m$ ), mean free path (MFP), tenth value layer (TVL), half value layer (HVL), Atomic Cross-Section ( $\sigma_{\text{at}}$ ), Electronic Cross-Section ( $\sigma_{\text{el}}$ ), Effective electron density ( $N_{\text{eff}}$ ) and Effective atomic number ( $Z_{\text{eff}}$ ) theoretically redefined using Phy-X/PSD software with reference to the compositions and densities of the glazing systems are contained in Tables 1 and 6.

Fig. 5 shows  $\mu$  and  $\mu_m$  of the five selected samples at energies of 0.284, 0.347, 0.662, 0.826, 1.173, 1.333, and 2.506 MeV. In Fig. 5 it can be seen that  $\mu$  SC16 is larger than SC01 but has a lower  $\mu_m$ . This is inseparable from that  $\rho$  SC16 is much larger than SC01. Then an interesting thing can be seen in Fig. 5b, where at energies of 0.284–0.347 MeV,  $\mu_m$  SC06 is larger than SC10, but the opposite happens for energies of 0.662–2.506 MeV. Based on the glass composition of SC06 which is dominated by TeO<sub>2</sub> and SC10 which is dominated by B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, speculation can arise that at high energy B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is better than TeO<sub>2</sub>.

Fig. 6 shows the HVL, TVL, and MFP of samples SC16, SC15, SC01,




Fig. 9. EBF values that depend on photon energy in the range 0.015–15 MeV at different mfp values.

SC10, and SC06 for selected energies from the range 0.284–2.506 MeV. For all selected energies, the order of HVL values from smallest to largest is SC16, SC01, SC06, SC10, and SC15. This sequence is inconsistent with the results obtained experimentally for the energy 0.662 MeV; the lower HVL SC01 and SC06 experimentally may be due to the uneven distribution of the material in the glass sample (Ardiansyah et al., 2022). Then, in Fig. 6a, it can also be seen that at an energy of 0.284 MeV, HVL SC01 and SC16 have a slight difference, but the higher the energy given the difference between the two becomes bigger and even SC01 gets closer to HVL SC06. This indicates that the ability of the SC01 sample decreases drastically at high energy. This trend is in line with the TVL and MFP values shown in Fig. 6b and c. The decrease in shielding ability at high energy is caused by differences in photon interactions that occur, namely: photoelectric absorption, Compton scattering and pair production processes so that photons penetrate the glass system more easily (Ali et al., 2020; Kaur et al., 2019).

Fig. 7 displays the  $\sigma_{t,a}$  and  $\sigma_{t,d}$  of the five samples. In Fig. 7a it can be

seen that for each energy,  $\sigma_{t,a}$  SC10 and SC15 are always aligned, which is different from SC01 and SC06. At energies of 0.284 and 0.347 MeV  $\sigma_{t,a}$  SC01 is greater than SC06, but the opposite is for the energy range of 0.662–2.506 MeV. Then based on Fig. 6b, it can be seen that the  $\sigma_{t,d}$  values of all samples decreased simultaneously and are getting closer to the same value at high energy which corresponds to the  $\mu$  trend in Fig. 5a. This suitability has led to speculation that there is a relationship between  $\mu$  and  $\sigma_{t,d}$  that makes the two influence each other.

Fig. 8 shows the  $N_{eff}$  and  $Z_{eff}$  selected samples. Fig. 8a shows  $N_{eff}$  SC10 is lower than SC16 at energy 0.284–0.662 MeV but has the same value at energy 0.826 MeV and becomes higher at energy 1.173–2.506 MeV. At an energy of 1333 MeV,  $Z_{eff}$  SC10 is the highest among all samples and the second highest at an energy of 2506 MeV after SC01. Then for  $Z_{eff}$  in Fig. 8b, it can be seen that  $Z_{eff}$  SC06 is in the third highest position at 0.284 and 0.347 MeV energy but is the second highest at 0.662–2.506 MeV. This trend agrees with the  $\sigma_{t,a}$  and the trend in Fig. 6a is confirmed by a directly proportional relationship between the




Fig. 10. EABF values that depend on photon energy in the range 0.015–15 MeV at different mfp values.

two, which is mathematically presented in equation (35). In Fig. 8b, it can also be seen that  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  SC06 and SC01 experienced a drastic decrease from 0.347 to 0.662 MeV.

Buildup factors such as EBF and EABF that depend on photon energies seven samples at different penetration depth (mfp) are shown in Figs. 9 and 10. The Fig. 14 shows that the mfp greatly affects EBF and EABF; at the higher mfp, the EBF and EABF also increase. The increase in photon buildup at higher penetration depths occurs because more secondary scattering occurs (El-Mallawany et al., 2020). Figs. 9 and 10 also show that at low energy (especially at a penetration depth of 10–40), SC01 and SC16 have the same trend, and both are higher than SC06, SC10, and SC15. The high buildup factors SC01 and SC16 at low energy indicate that the sample has a greater ability to disperse low-energy photons, and this observation is a major influence of the photoelectric effect (Sabry et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2023).

## 6. Conclusion and future aspect

Barium oxide (BaO) is a metal oxide with the potential as a shield for gamma-ray radiation. After studying 22 recent kinds literature discussing the use of BaO as one of the compositions of a glass system as a gamma ray radiation shield, it was found that the  $\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3$ -BaO- $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  glass system with sample code S52 is the sample with the best radiation shielding ability. SC16 has an HVL of 0.937 cm at an energy of 0.662 MeV experimentally, and this value is even better than the glass system containing PbO such as SC02, SC04, and SC14, whose values are only 1.4219, 1.4869, and 1.8810 cm, respectively. After being confirmed in theory using Phy-X/PSD, SC16 is still the best system compared to the others. The quality of the BaO-based glass system as a shield for gamma-ray radiation is also confirmed by its good physical, mechanical, and optical properties.

In order to obtain a BaO-based glass system with better shielding capabilities for gamma-ray radiation, it is necessary to continue

exploring. Some oxide materials that have not been studied too much, such as CaO, MoO<sub>3</sub>, BaO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, Dy<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CdO, and V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> need to be added to the glass system to observe the effect. Observation of physical, mechanical, and optical properties is also a property that must always be considered in manufacturing BaO-based glass systems.

12

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

13

### Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

### References

- Abd-Allah, W.M., Saudi, H.A., Shaaban, K.S., Farroh, H.A., 2019. Investigation of structural and radiation shielding properties of 40B2O<sub>3</sub>-30PbO-(30-x) BaO-x ZnO glass system. *Appl. Phys. Mater. Sci. Process* 125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-019-2574-0>.
- Abdel-Wahed, M.H., Abdou, S.M., El-Bayoumi, A.S., Salem, S.M., Bendary, A.A., 2020. Structural, optical properties and  $\gamma$  - ray shielding parameters of PbO embedded Li<sub>2</sub>O borophosphate glass systems. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 543, 120135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2020.120135>.
- Aboalatta, A., Asad, J., Humaid, M., Musleh, H., Shaat, S.K.K., Ramadan, K., Sayyed, M. I., Alajerami, Y., Aldahoudi, N., 2021. Experimental investigation of zinc sodium borate glass systems containing barium oxide for gamma radiation shielding applications. *Nucl. Eng. Technol.* 53, 3058–3067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2021.04.002>.
- Aboud, H., Alduhaibat, M.J.R., Alajermi, Y., 2022. Gamma radiation shielding traits of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CdO-BaO-PbO glasses. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 191 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2021.109836>.
- Akman, Ferdi, Ogul, H., Ozkan, I., Kaçal, M.R., Agar, O., Polat, H., Dilsiz, K., 2022. Study on gamma radiation attenuation and non-ionizing shielding effectiveness of niobium-reinforced novel polymer composite. *Nucl. Eng. Technol.* 54, 283–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2021.07.006>.
- Aladailah, M.W., Tashlykov, O.L., Shirmanov, I.A., Strugov, E.D., Sayyed, M.I., Marashdeh, M.W., Elsaifi, M., Al-Maaitah, A.F., 2022. Radiation attenuation properties of novel glass system using experimental and Geant4 simulation. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 199 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2022.110404>.
- Ali, A.M., Rammah, Y.S., Sayyed, M.I., Somaily, H.H., Algarni, H., Rashad, M., 2020. The impact of lead oxide on the optical and gamma shielding properties of barium borate glasses. *Appl. Phys. A* 126, 280. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-020-3463-2>.
- Almatari, M., Issa, S.A.M., Dong, M.G., Sayyed, M.I., Ayad, R., 2019. Comparison between MCNP5, Geant4 and experimental data for gamma rays attenuation of PbO-BaO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glasses. *Heliyon* 5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02364>.
- Almurayshid, M., Alsagabi, S., Alsalim, Y., Alotaibi, Z., Almsalam, R., 2021. Feasibility of polymer-based composite materials as radiation shield. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 183, 109425 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2021.109425>.
- Aly, P., El-Kheshen, A.A., Abou-Gabal, H., Agamy, S., 2020. Structural investigation and measurement of the shielding effect of borosilicate glass containing PbO, SrO, and BaO against gamma irradiation. *J. Phys. Chem. Solid.* 145 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2020.109521>.
- Ardiansyah, A., Rahmat, R., Azlan, M., Heryanto, H., Tahir, D., 2022. Nanocrystal composites cement/BaCO<sub>3</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for improved X-ray shielding characteristics: stability structural properties. *J. Mater. Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43578-022-00775-z>.
- Ardiansyah, A., Tahir, D., Heryanto, H., Arminyah, B., Salah, H., Sulieman, A., Bradley, D. A., 2023. Science mapping for concrete composites as radiation shielding: a review. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 110835 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2023.110835>.
- Asal, S., Erenturk, S.A., Hacıyakupoglu, S., 2021. Bentonite based ceramic materials from a perspective of gamma-ray shielding: preparation, characterization and performance evaluation. *Nucl. Eng. Technol.* 53, 1634–1641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2020.11.009>.
- Aşkın, A., Mutuwong, C., Nutaro, T., Dal, M., 2020. Investigation of the radiation shielding capability of xPbO – (50 - x) BaO – 50 B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass system using Geant4, FLUKA, WinXCOM and comparison of data with the experimental data. *Pramana - J. Phys.* 94 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12043-019-1890-4>.
- Aşkın, A., Sayyed, M.I., Sharma, A., Dal, M., El-Mallawany, R., Kaçal, M.R., 2019. Investigation of the gamma ray shielding parameters of (100-x) [0.5Li<sub>2</sub>O-0.1B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-0.4P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>]-xTeO<sub>2</sub> glasses using Geant4 and FLUKA codes. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 521, 119489. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2019.119489>.
- Aygün, B., 2020. High alloyed new stainless steel shielding material for gamma and fast neutron radiation. *Nucl. Eng. Technol.* 52, 647–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2019.08.017>.
- B. Hiremath, G., Hosamani, M.M., Singh, V.P., Ayachit, N.H., M. Badiger, N., 2023. Theoretical investigation of the gamma and neutron interaction parameters of some inorganic scintillators using phy-X/PSD and NGCal software. *J. Nucl. Eng. Radiat. Sci.* 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4056835>.
- Boonin, K., Yasaka, P., Limkitjaroenpom, P., Rajaramakrishna, R., Askin, A., Sayyed, M. I., Kothan, S., Kaewkhao, J., 2020. Effect of BaO on lead free zinc barium tellurite glass for radiation shielding materials in nuclear application. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2020.120386>.
- Chinthakayala, S.K., Gadige, P., Kollipara, V.S., Ramadurai, G., 2022. Gamma radiation shielding studies on highly dense barium bismuth borate glasses. *Int. J. Appl. Glass Sci.* 13, 211–222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijag.16554>.
- Chu, R.D.H., McLaughlin, W.L., Miller, A., Sharpe, P.H.G., 2008. 2. Interactions of electrons and photons with matter. *J. ICRU* 8, 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jicru/ndn024>.
- D'Souza, A.N., Sharmila, K., Sayyed, M.I., Somshekarappa, H.M., Khandaker, M.U., Bradley, D.A., Kamath, S.D., 2021. Gamma ray shielding and thermoluminescence investigation of bismuth added heavy metal oxide glasses. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 188 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2021.109598>.
- Dwaikat, N., Sayyed, M.I., Mhareb, M.H.A., Dong, M., Alajerami, Y.S.M., Alrammah, I., Khalid, A., Ashiq, M.G.B., 2021. Durability, optical and radiation shielding properties for the new series of boro-tellurite glass. *Optik* 245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlleo.2021.167667>.
- Echeweze, E.O., Asiegbu, A.D., Efurumibe, E.L., 2021. Investigation of kaolin - granite composite bricks for gamma radiation shielding. *International Journal of Advanced Nuclear Reactor Design and Technology* 3, 194–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jandrt.2021.09.007>.
- El-Mallawany, R., Rammah, Y.S., El-Agawany, F.I., Lima, S.M., Mutuwong, C., Al-Buriah, M.S., 2020. Evaluation of optical features and ionizing radiation shielding competences of TeO<sub>2</sub>-Li<sub>2</sub>O (TL) glasses via Geant4 simulation code and Phy-X/PSD program. *Opt. Mater.* 108, 110394 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optmat.2020.110394>.
- El-Mallawany, R., Sidkey, M., Khafagy, A., Afifi, H., 1994. Elastic constants of semiconducting tellurite glasses. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 37, 295–298. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0254-0584\(94\)90167-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0254-0584(94)90167-8).
- El-Moneim, A.A., Mazen, S.A., Abu-Elasad, N.I., 2022. Evaluating the theoretical elastic properties of Li-Mn ferrites: a new approach. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 291, 126679 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchem.2022.126679>.
- El-Zaidia, M.M., Ammar, A.A., El-Mallawany, R.A., 1985. Infra-red spectra, electron spin resonance spectra, and density of (TeO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>100-x</sub>-(WO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>x</sub> and (TeO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>100-x</sub>-(ZnCl<sub>2</sub>)<sub>x</sub> glasses. *Phys. Status Solidi* 91, 637–642. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pssa.2210910234>.
- Fidan, M., Acikgoz, A., Demircan, G., Yilmaz, D., Aktas, B., 2022. Optical, structural, physical, and nuclear shielding properties, and albedo parameters of TeO<sub>2</sub>-BaO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-PbO-V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> glasses. *J. Phys. Chem. Solid.* 163 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2021.110543>.
- Geidam, I.G., Matori, K.A., Halimah, M.K., Chan, K.T., Muhammad, F.D., Ishak, M., Umar, S.A., Hamza, A.M., 2022. Optical characterization and polaron radius of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> doped silica borotellurite glasses. *J. Lumin.* 246, 118868 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlumin.2022.118868>.
- Gharissah, Muhs, Ardiansyah, A., Pauziah, S.R., Muhammad, N.A., Rahmat, R., Heryanto, H., Tahir, D., 2022. Composites cement/BaSO<sub>4</sub>/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/CuO for improving X-ray absorption characteristics and structural properties. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 19169 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23908-0>.
- Hampton, R.N., Hong, W., Saunders, G.A., El-Mallawany, R.A., 1988. Dielectric properties of tellurite glass. *Phys. Chem. Glasses* 29, 100–105.
- Hampton, R.N., Hong, W., Saunders, G.A., El-Mallawany, R.A., 1987. The electrical conductivity of pure and binary TeO<sub>2</sub> glasses. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 94, 307–314. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3093\(87\)80066-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3093(87)80066-2).
- Hubbell, J.H., 2006. Electron-positron pair production by photons: a historical overview. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 75, 614–623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2005.10.008>.
- Imheidat, M.A., KhHamad, M., Naseer, K.A., Sayyed, M.I., Dwaikat, N., Cornish, K., Alajerami, Y.S., Alqahtani, M., Mhareb, M.H.A., 2022. Radiation shielding, mechanical, optical, and structural properties for tellurite glass samples. *Optik* 268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlleo.2022.169774>.
- Kaewkhao, J., Pokaipisit, A., Chewpraditkul, W., 2008. Effect of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content on the properties of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-BaO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass system. *Adv. Mater. Res.* 55–57, 869–872. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.55-57.869>.
- Kaur, P., Singh, D., Singh, T., 2016. Heavy metal oxide glasses as gamma rays shielding material. *Nucl. Eng. Des.* 307, 364–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucengdes.2016.07.029>.
- Kaur, P., Singh, K.J., Thakur, S., Singh, P., Bajwa, B.S., 2019. Investigation of bismuth borate glass system modified with barium for structural and gamma-ray shielding properties. *Spectrochim. Acta Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 206, 367–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2018.08.038>.
- Khazaalah, T.H., Mustafa, I.S., Sayyed, M.I., Rahman, A.A., Zaid, M.H.M., Hisam, R., Malik, M.F.I.A., Ezra, N.S., Naem, H.S., Khalib, N.C., 2022. Development of novel transparent radiation shielding glasses by BaO doping in waste soda lime silica (SLS) glass. *Sustainability* 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14020937>.
- Kim, J., Tseren, B., 2020. Occupational ALARA planning for reactor pressure vessel dismantling at kori unit 1. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health* 17, 5346. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17155346>.
- L'Annunziata, M.F., 2020. The atomic nucleus, nuclear radiation, and the interaction of radiation with matter. In: *Handbook of Radioactivity Analysis*. Elsevier, pp. 1–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814397-1.00001-7>.

- Levet, A., Özdemir, Y., 2017. Determination of effective atomic numbers, effective electrons numbers, total atomic cross-sections and buildup factor of some compounds for different radiation sources. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 130, 171–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2016.08.015>.
- Madshal, M.A., El-Damrawi, G., Abdelghany, A.M., Abdelghany, M.I., 2021. Structural studies and physical properties of Gd2O3-doped borate glass. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* 32, 14642–14653. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-021-06022-1>.
- Mahmoud, K.A., Tashlykov, O.L., Almuqrin, A.H., Sayyed, M.I., Vlasova, S.G., 2022. Assessment of mechanical and radiation shielding capacity for a ternary CdO-BaO-B2O3 glass system: a comprehensive experimental, Monte Carlo simulation, and theoretical studies. *Prog. Nucl. Energy* 146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2022.104169>.
- Mhareb, M.H.A., Alajerami, Y.S.M., Dwaikat, N., Al-Buriah, M.S., Alqahtani, M., Alshahri, F., Saleh, N., Alonizan, N., Saleh, M.A., Sayyed, M.I., 2021. Investigation of photon, neutron and proton shielding features of H3BO3-ZnO-Na2O-BaO glass system. *Nucl. Eng. Technol.* 53, 949–959. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.net.2020.07.035>.
- Mhareb, M.H.A., Alajerami, Y.S.M., Sayyed, M.I., Dwaikat, N., Alqahtani, M., Alshahri, F., Saleh, N., Alonizan, N., Ghrif, T., Al-Dhafar, S.I., 2020. Radiation shielding, structural, physical, and optical properties for a series of borosilicate glass. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2020.120360>.
- Mhareb, M.H.A., Alqahtani, M., Alshahri, F., Alajerami, Y.S.M., Saleh, N., Alonizan, N., Sayyed, M.I., Ashiq, M.G.B., Ghrif, T., Al-Dhafar, S.I., Alayed, T., Morsy, M.A., 2020. The impact of barium oxide on physical, structural, optical, and shielding features of sodium zinc borate glass. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2020.120090>.
- Mhareb, M.H.A., Sayyed, M.I., Hamad, M.K., Almousa, N., Dwaikat, N., Prabhu, N.S., Monisha, M., Kamath, S.D., 2022. The role of different modifiers on radiation shielding, optical, and physical properties for strontium boro-tellurite glass. *Ceram. Int.* 48, 15984–15991. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2022.02.141>.
- Mohammad Rafiei, M., Parsaei, S., Kaur, P., Singh, K.J., Büyükyıldız, M., Kurudirek, M., 2022a. A Monte Carlo investigation of some important radiation parameters and tissue equivalency for photons below 1 keV in human tissues. *Biomed Phys Eng Express* 8. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2057-1976/ac428f>.
- Mohammad Rafiei, M., Parsaei, S., Kaur, P., Singh, K.J., Büyükyıldız, M., Kurudirek, M., 2022b. Study of low-energy photon interactions below 1 keV for some biological molecules of human body. *Eur. Phys. J. E* 137. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-022-02445-9>.
- Muhammad, N.A., Arminyah, B., Tahir, D., 2022. High transparent wood composite for effective X-ray shielding applications. *Mater. Res.* 154, 111930. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2022.111930>.
- Muramoto, K., Takahashi, Y., Terakado, N., Yamazaki, Y., Suzuki, S., Fujiwara, T., 2018. VO2-dispersed glass: a new class of phase change material. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 2275. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20519-6>.
- Murray, R.L., Holbert, K.E., 2015. Radiation and materials. In: *Nuclear Energy*. Elsevier, pp. 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416654-7.00005-8>.
- Obodovskiy, I., 2019. Interaction of gamma quanta with matter. *Radiation* 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63979-0.00006-9>.
- Rafiei, M.M., Parsaei, S., Kurudirek, M., 2020. A Monte Carlo study on the gamma-ray buildup factors for the linear sources embedded in a cylindrical shield. *J. Instrum.* 15. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/11/T11004>.
- Rafiei, M.M., Tavakoli-Anbaran, H., 2018. Study of exposure buildup factors with detailed physics for cobalt-60 gamma source in water, iron, and lead using the MCNPX code. *Eur. Phys. J. E* 133. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2018-12355-8>.
- Rafiei, Mustafa Mohammad, Tavakoli-Anbaran, H., Kurudirek, M., 2020. A detailed investigation of gamma-ray energy absorption and dose buildup factor for soft tissue and tissue equivalents using Monte Carlo simulation. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2020.109118>.
- Rahmat, R., Halima, N., Heryanto, H., Sesa, E., Tahir, D., 2023. Improvement X-ray radiation shield characteristics of composite cement/Titanium dioxide (TiO2)/ Barium carbonate (BaCO3): stability crystal structure and chemical bonding. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 204, 110634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2022.110634>.
- Sabry, N., Yousef, E.S., Alqahtani, M.S., Reben, M., Algarni, H., Umar, A., Albargi, H.B., Yahia, I.S., Zahran, H.Y., 2022. Gamma-ray attenuation properties and fast neutron removal cross-section of Cu2CdSn3S8 and binary sulfide compounds (Cu/Cd/Sn S) using phy-X/PSD software. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 193, 109989. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RADPHYSCH.2022.109989>.
- Saddeek, Y.B., Aly, K.A., Shaaban, K.S., Ali, A.M., Alqhtani, M.M., Alshehri, A.M., Sayed, M.A., Abdel Wahab, E.A., 2018. Physical properties of B2O3-TeO2-Bi2O3 glass system. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 498, 82–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2018.06.002>.
- Şakar, E., Özpolat, Ö.F., Alım, B., Sayyed, M.I., Kurudirek, M., 2020. Phy-X/PSD: development of a user friendly online software for calculation of parameters relevant to radiation shielding and dosimetry. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 166, 108496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RADPHYSCH.2019.108496>.
- Sidkey, M.A., El Mallawany, R.A., Abousehly, A.A., Saddeek, Y.B., 2002. Relaxation of longitudinal ultrasonic waves in some tellurite glasses. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 74, 222–229. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-0584\(01\)00466-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-0584(01)00466-7).
- Singh, J., Kaur, Pardeep, Kaur, Preet, Kumar, V., Singh, K., Singh, T., 2023. Evaluation of radiation shielding parameters of SiO2-Na2O-K2O-P2O5-BaO glass system. *Mater. Today: Proc.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATPR.2023.03.092>.
- Singh, J., Kumar, V., Vermani, Y.K., Al-Buriah, M.S., Alzahrani, J.S., Singh, T., 2021. Fabrication and characterization of barium based bioactive glasses in terms of physical, structural, mechanical and radiation shielding properties. *Ceram. Int.* 47, 21730–21743. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.04.188>.
- Singh, S., Kaur, R., Rani, S., Sidhu, B.S., 2022. Physical, structural and nuclear radiation shielding behaviour of xBaO-(0.30-x)MgO-0.10Na2O-0.10Al2O3-0.50B2O3 glass matrix. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2021.125415>.
- Singh, V.P., Badiger, N.M., 2013. Study of mass attenuation coefficients, effective atomic numbers and electron densities of carbon steel and stainless steels. *Radioprotection* 48, 431–443. <https://doi.org/10.1051/radiopro/2013067>.
- Singh, V.P., Shirmardi, S.P., Medhat, M.E., Badiger, N.M., 2015. Determination of mass attenuation coefficient for some polymers using Monte Carlo simulation. *Vacuum* 119, 284–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vacuum.2015.06.006>.
- Tashlykov, O.L., Sayyed, M.I., Mahmoud, K.A., Khandaker, M.U., Bradley, D.A., Vlasova, S.G., 2021. Tailor made barium borate doped Bi2O3 glass system for radiological protection. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2021.109558>.
- Zakaly, H.M.H., Saudi, H.A., Issa, S.A.M., Rashad, M., Elazaka, A.I., Tekin, H.O., Saddeek, Y.B., 2021. Alteration of optical, structural, mechanical durability and nuclear radiation attenuation properties of barium borosilicate glasses through BaO reinforcement: experimental and numerical analyses. *Ceram. Int.* 47, 5587–5596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.10.143>.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

**23%**  
SIMILARITY INDEX

%  
INTERNET SOURCES

**23%**  
PUBLICATIONS

%  
STUDENT PAPERS

---

PRIMARY SOURCES

---

- 1** Jagpreet Singh, Vishal Kumar, Yogesh K. Vermani, M.S. Al-Buriahi, Jamila S. Alzahrani, Tejbir Singh. "Fabrication and characterization of barium based bioactive glasses in terms of physical, structural, mechanical and radiation shielding properties", *Ceramics International*, 2021  
Publication **1** %

---
- 2** Nada Alfryyan, Z.A. Alrowaili, Robson Ferrari Muniz, Zainab Mufarreh Elqahtani et al. "Design, synthesis, and characterization of Pb-free tellurite glasses for radiation shielding applications", *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2023  
Publication **1** %

---
- 3** Preet Kaur, Devinder Singh, Tejbir Singh. "Heavy metal oxide glasses as gamma rays shielding material", *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, 2016  
Publication **1** %

---

4

Y.S. Rammah, F.I. El-Agwany, K.A. Mahmoud, A. Novatski, R. El-Mallawany. "Role of ZnO on TeO<sub>2</sub>.Li<sub>2</sub>O.ZnO glasses for optical and nuclear radiation shielding applications utilizing MCNP5 simulations and WINXCOM program", *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 2020

Publication

1 %

5

Parminder Kaur, K.J. Singh, Sonika Thakur, Prabhjot Singh, B.S. Bajwa. "Investigation of bismuth borate glass system modified with barium for structural and gamma-ray shielding properties", *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 2018

Publication

1 %

6

Amandeep Sharma, Bhajan Singh, B.S. Sandhu. "Investigation of photon interaction parameters of polymeric materials using Monte Carlo simulation", *Chinese Journal of Physics*, 2019

Publication

1 %

7

M. A. Madshal, G. El-Damrawi, A. M. Abdelghany, M. I. Abdelghany. "Structural studies and physical properties of Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-doped borate glass", *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics*, 2021

Publication

1 %

8

Y.S. Rammah. "Influence of Ag<sub>2</sub>O insertion on alpha, proton and γ-rays safety features of TeO<sub>2</sub>.ZnO.Na<sub>2</sub>O glasses: Potential use for nuclear medicine applications", Ceramics International, 2020

Publication

1 %

9

Craig A. Stockwell. "Genetic evidence for two evolutionarily significant units of White Sands pupfish", Animal Conservation, 8/1998

Publication

1 %

10

M. Subhadra, P. Kistaiah. "Effect of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content on physical and optical properties of 15Li<sub>2</sub>O–15K<sub>2</sub>O–xBi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–(65–x) B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 5V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> glass system", Physica B: Condensed Matter, 2011

Publication

1 %

11

Michael F. L'Annunziata. "The atomic nucleus, nuclear radiation, and the interaction of radiation with matter", Elsevier BV, 2020

Publication

<1 %

12

A. Aşkın. "Evaluation of the radiation shielding capabilities of the Na<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>–SiO<sub>2</sub>–MoO<sub>3</sub>–Dy<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass quaternary using Geant4 simulation code and Phy-X/PSD database", Ceramics International, 2020

Publication

<1 %

13

Mehmet Fatih Turhan, Ferdi Akman, Mustafa Recep Kaçal, Hasan Polat, İskender Demirkol. "A study for gamma-ray attenuation performances of barite filled polymer composites", Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 2023

Publication

---

<1 %

14

E. A. Abdel Wahab, Kh. S. Shaaban, Sultan Alomairy, M. S. Al-Buriahi. "Electronegativity and optical basicity of glasses containing Na/Pb/B and their high performance for radiation applications: role of ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles", The European Physical Journal Plus, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

15

Compendium to Radiation Physics for Medical Physicists, 2014.

Publication

---

<1 %

16

Said M. Kassem, M.I.A. Abdel Maksoud, Mohamed M. Ghobashy, Adel M. El Sayed, S. Ebraheem, A.I. Helal, Y.Y. Ebaid. "Novel flexible and lead-free gamma radiation shielding nanocomposites based on LDPE/SBR blend and BaWO<sub>4</sub>/B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructures", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2023

Publication

---

<1 %

17

Y.A. Abdelghany, M.M. Kassab, M.M. Radwan, M.A. Abdel-Latif. "Borotellurite glass system

<1 %

doped with ZrO<sub>2</sub>, potential use for radiation shielding", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2022

Publication

---

18

Vijeta Bhatia, Dinesh Kumar, Harpreet Singh, Navjeet Kaur, S.M. Rao, Ashok Kumar, Vimal Mehta, Supreet Pal Singh. "Structural, optical and thermoluminescence properties of newly developed MnKB: Er<sup>3+</sup> glass system", Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 2020

Publication

---

19

H.S. Gökçe, O. Güngör, H. Yılmaz. "An online software to simulate the shielding properties of materials for neutrons and photons: NGCal", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2021

Publication

---

20

M.S. Sadeq, I.I. Bashter, S.M. Salem, S.F. Mansour, H.A. Saudi, M.I. Sayyed, A.G. Mostafa. "Enhancing the gamma-ray attenuation parameters of mixed bismuth/barium borosilicate glasses: Using an experimental method, Geant4 code and XCOM software", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2022

Publication

---

21

V.P. Singh, S.P. Shirmardi, M.E. Medhat, N.M. Badiger. "Determination of mass attenuation

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

coefficient for some polymers using Monte Carlo simulation", Vacuum, 2015

Publication

---

22

Bünyamin Aygün, Erdem Şakar, V.P. Singh, M.I. Sayyed, Turgay Korkut, Abdulhalik Karabulut. "Experimental and Monte Carlo simulation study on potential new composite materials to moderate neutron-gamma radiation", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2020

Publication

---

<1 %

23

Melek Fidan, Abuzer Acikgoz, Gokhan Demircan, Demet Yilmaz, Bulent Aktas. "Optical, structural, physical, and nuclear shielding properties, and albedo parameters of TeO<sub>2</sub>-BaO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-PbO-V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> glasses", Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

24

Y.A. Abdelghany, M.M. Kassab, M.M. Radwan, A. Abdel-Latif M. "Investigation of optical, mechanical, and shielding properties of zirconia glass capsule", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

25

Y.S. Alajerami, D. Drabold, M.H.A. Mhareb, Katherine Leslee A. Cimat, Gang Chen, M. Kurudirek. "Radiation shielding properties of bismuth borate glasses doped with different

<1 %

concentrations of cadmium oxides", Ceramics International, 2020

Publication

---

26

Muhammad S. Mansy, W.M. Desoky. "Investigation of gamma-rays and fast neutrons attenuation parameters for  $\text{Ni}_x\text{Co}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_4$  nickel ferrites", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2022

Publication

---

27

Mustafa Mohammad Rafiei, Sara Parsaei, Parminder Kaur, K. J. Singh, Mehmet Büyükyıldız, Murat Kurudirek. "Study of low-energy photon interactions below 1keV for some biological molecules of human body", The European Physical Journal Plus, 2022

Publication

---

28

R. El-Mallawany, M.I. Sayyed, M.G. Dong. "Comparative shielding properties of some tellurite glasses: Part 2", Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 2017

Publication

---

29

M.H.M. Zaid, K.A. Matori, S.N. Nazrin, M.N. Azlan, R. Hisam, S.M. Iskandar, N.N. Yusof, F.C. Hila, M.I. Sayyed. "Synthesis, mechanical characterization and photon radiation shielding properties of  $\text{ZnO}-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3-\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  glass system", Optical Materials, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

30

Sukhpal Singh, Ramanpreet Kaur, Saffi Rani, Baltej Singh Sidhu. "Investigations on physical, structural and nuclear radiation shielding behaviour of niobium–bismuth–cadmium–zinc borate glass system", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2021

Publication

&lt;1 %

31

M S Al-Buriahi, K S Mann. "Radiation shielding investigations for selected tellurite-based glasses belonging to the TNW system", Materials Research Express, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

32

Taranjot Kaur, Jeewan Sharma, Tejbir Singh. "Review on scope of metallic alloys in gamma rays shield designing", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

33

W. M. Abd-Allah, H. A. Saudi, Kh. S. Shaaban, H. A. Farroh. "Investigation of structural and radiation shielding properties of 40B2O3–30PbO–(30-x) BaO-x ZnO glass system", Applied Physics A, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

34

F. N. Rabinovich. "The efficient choice of the diameters of glass fibers for glass-reinforced constructions", Glass and Ceramics, 1980

Publication

&lt;1 %

35

G. Lakshminarayana, Shams A.M. Issa, Y.B. Saddeek, H.O. Tekin et al. "Analysis of physical and mechanical traits and nuclear radiation transmission aspects of Gallium(III) trioxide constituting Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glasses", Results in Physics, 2021

Publication

&lt;1 %

36

Gurinderjeet Singh, Amrit Singh, Manoj Kumar Gupta, A.S. Dhaliwal, K.S. Kahlon. "Absorption edge parameters of the L III edge for compounds of Hg, Tl, Pb and Bi using EDXRF technique", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2018

Publication

&lt;1 %

37

I.G. Geidam, K.A. Matori, M.K. Halimah, K.T. Chan, F.D. Muhammad, M. Ishak, S.A. Umar, A.M. Hamza. "Optical characterization and polaron radius of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> doped silica borotellurite glasses", Journal of Luminescence, 2022

Publication

&lt;1 %

38

M.I. Sayyed, G. Lakshminarayana, M.G. Dong, M. Çelikbilek Ersundu, A.E. Ersundu, I.V. Kityk. "Investigation on gamma and neutron radiation shielding parameters for BaO/SrO–Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glasses", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2018

Publication

&lt;1 %

39

T. Alharbi, Hamdy F.M. Mohamed, Yasser B. Saddeek, Ahmed Y. El-Haseib, Kh S. Shaaban. "Study of the TiO<sub>2</sub> effect on the heavy metals oxides borosilicate glasses structure using gamma-ray spectroscopy and positron annihilation technique", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

40

F. Afaneh, Z.Y. Khattari, M.S. Al-Buriahi. "Monte Carlo simulations and phy-X/PSD study of radiation shielding and elastic effects of molybdenum and tungsten in phosphate glasses", Journal of Materials Research and Technology, 2022

Publication

&lt;1 %

41

Hayder K. Obayes, R. Hussin, H. Wagiran, M.A. Saeed. "Strontium ion concentration effects on structural and spectral properties of Li<sub>4</sub>Sr(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> glass", Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 2015

Publication

&lt;1 %

42

Murat Kurudirek. "Energy absorption and exposure buildup factors for some polymers and tissue substitute materials: photon energy, penetration depth and chemical composition dependence", Journal of Radiological Protection, 03/2011

Publication

&lt;1 %

43

P.J. Gracie, D. Geetha. "Nano cristobalite embedded Er<sup>3+</sup> doped multi-functional silica phosphate composite glasses for optoelectronic applications", *Ceramics International*, 2023

Publication

&lt;1 %

44

Sazirul Islam, K.A. Mahmoud, M.I. Sayyed, Bünyamin Alim, Md.M. Rahman, A.S. Mollah. "Study on the radiation attenuation properties of locally available bees-wax as a tissue equivalent bolus material in radiotherapy", *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2020

Publication

&lt;1 %

45

Uğur Gökmen, Zübeyde Özkan, Sema Bilge Ocak. "Impact of the gamma and neutron attenuation behaviors on the functionally graded composite materials", *Physica Scripta*, 2021

Publication

&lt;1 %

46

Ashwitha Nancy D'Souza, Nimitha S. Prabhu, K. Sharmila, M. I Sayyed et al. "Role of Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in altering the structural, optical, mechanical, radiation shielding and thermoluminescence properties of heavy metal oxide borosilicate glasses", *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*, 2020

Publication

&lt;1 %

47

Samuel Leumas Otoo, Dihua Yu, Youlei Wu, Wei Chen, Changfu Deng, Qiu Li, Song Li. "Enhancing the radiographic imaging of void defects in grouts by attenuation coefficient modification of grouting materials", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2023

Publication

&lt;1 %

48

Ashok Kumar, Ramandeep Kaur, M.I. Sayyed, M. Rashad, Mandeep Singh, Atif Mossad Ali. "Physical, structural, optical and gamma ray shielding behavior of  $(20+x)$  PbO – 10 BaO – 10 Na<sub>2</sub>O – 10 MgO –  $(50-x)$  B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glasses", Physica B: Condensed Matter, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

49

Bünyamin Alim, Erdem Şakar, Ali Baltakesmez, İbrahim Han, M.I. Sayyed, Lütfü Demir. "Experimental investigation of radiation shielding performances of some important AISI-coded stainless steels: Part I", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

50

G. Lakshminarayana, Y. Elmahroug, Ashok Kumar, Najeh Rekik, Dong-Eun Lee, Jonghun Yoon, Taejoon Park. "Reckoning of nuclear radiation attenuation capabilities for binary GeO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>, GeO<sub>2</sub>-Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and ternary GeO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>-Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glasses utilizing pertinent

&lt;1 %

theoretical and computational approaches",  
Optical Materials, 2020

Publication

---

51

M.Kh. Hamad, M.I. Sayyed, M.H.A. Mhareb, M.S. Sadeq, Nidal Dwaikat, M.A. Almessiere, Kh.A. Ziq. "Effects of TiO<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, MnO<sub>2</sub> and Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on structural, physical, optical and ionizing radiation shielding properties of strontium boro-tellurite glass: An experimental study", Optical Materials, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

52

Sabina Yasmin, Noof Almousa, Mohammad I. Abualsayed, Mohamed Elsafi. "Grafting of heavy metal oxides onto pure polyester for the interest of enhancing radiation shielding performance", Radiochimica Acta, 2023

Publication

---

<1 %

53

Zhicheng Qian, Jun Cai, Changyuan Li, Zhihong Zhang, Jianhua Wang. "Influence of PbO content on the gamma ray shielding properties of lead boro-telluro-phosphate glasses", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

54

Bünyamin Aygün. "Neutron and Gamma Radiation Shielding Ni Based New Type Super Alloys Development and Production by Monte

<1 %

## Carlo Simulation Technique", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2021

Publication

---

55

C.V. Vishnu, Antony Joseph, K. Anju.  
"Evaluation of the gamma radiation shielding characteristics of epoxy wall paint modified with micro sized Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and WO<sub>3</sub>", Materials Today: Proceedings, 2023

Publication

---

56

Erdem Şakar, Mehmet Büyükyıldız, Bünyamin Alım, Betül Ceviz Şakar, Murat Kurudirek.  
"Leaded brass alloys for gamma-ray shielding applications", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2019

Publication

---

57

G. Lakshminarayana, Ashok Kumar, A. Lira, A. Dahshan, H.H. Hegazy, I.V. Kityk, Dong-Eun Lee, Jonghun Yoon, Taejoon Park.  
"Comparative study of gamma-ray shielding features and some properties of different heavy metal oxide-based tellurite-rich glass systems", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2020

Publication

---

58

Gracie P J, Geetha D, Inas Kamal Battisha.  
"Multi-functional Praseodymium Doped Composite Silica Glasses for UV Shielding and

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

Photonic Applications", Journal of Physics D:  
Applied Physics, 2023

Publication

---

59

M.H.A. Mhareb, Y.S.M. Alajerami, M.I. Sayyed, Nidal Dwaikat et al. "Radiation shielding, structural, physical, and optical properties for a series of borosilicate glass", Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 2020

Publication

---

60

Mengge Dong, Xiangxin Xue, Ashok Kumar, He Yang, M.I. Sayyed, Shan Liu, Erjun Bu. "A novel method of utilization of hot dip galvanizing slag using the heat waste from itself for protection from radiation", Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2018

Publication

---

61

Nada Alfryyan, Canel Eke, Zakaria M.M. Mahmoud, Z.A. Alrowaili, M.S. Al-Buriahi. "Nuclear shielding characteristics of Sm<sup>3+</sup> doped borosilicate glasses containing Na<sub>2</sub>O, PbO and ZnO", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2022

Publication

---

62

Natalya A. Zheltukhina. "On Laurent series with multiply positive coefficients", Analysis Mathematica, 2004

Publication

---

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

63 Natthakridta Chanthima, Narong Sangwaranatee, Jakrapong Kaewkhao. "The Study of Physical and Optical Properties of Barium Borophosphate Glasses", Materials Science Forum, 2016

Publication

<1 %

---

64 Uraiwan Intatha, K. Sathitada, Sukum Eitssayeam, Kamonpan Pengpat, Gobwute Rujjanagul, P. Thavornyuttakarn, Tawee Tunkasiri. "Effects of Potassium Fluoride Salt Additive on the Sintering Temperature and Dielectric Properties of  $BaFe_{0.5}Nb_{0.5}O_3$  Ceramics", Advanced Materials Research, 2008

Publication

<1 %

---

65 A.M. Abdelghany, Norah A.M. Alsaif, M.A. Madshal, H.A. ElBatal, Y.S. Rammah, W. Awad. "Structural, optical and radiation shielding parameters of sodium aluminium borate glasses modified with chromium oxide", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2023

Publication

<1 %

---

66 Ahmed Mohamed Reda, Ali Azab, Gamal Mohamed Turkey. " Gamma-ray shielding, electrical, and magnetic properties of -Fe O /Al/HDPE nanocomposites ", Physica Scripta, 2022

Publication

<1 %

---

67

Alexander J Knowles, David Dye, Russel J Dodds, Andy Watson, Christopher D Hardie, Samuel A Humphry-Baker. "Tungsten-based bcc-superalloys", Applied Materials Today, 2021

Publication

&lt;1 %

68

Fawaz F. Alqahtani, Asail Z. Alzuhair, Soheir E. Mohamed, Heba Y. Zahran et al. "Correlation of physical parameters features with optical and radiation shielding properties of heavy glass", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2022

Publication

&lt;1 %

69

Leroy, . "Electromagnetic Interaction of Radiation in Matter", Principles Of Radiation Interaction In Matter And Detection, 2004.

Publication

&lt;1 %

70

R. El-Mallawany, E. Kavaz, U. Perişanoğlu, H.O. Tekin, S.H. Alazoumi, S.A. Umar, F.I. El-Agawany, Y.S. Rammah. "New shielding ZnO-PbO-TeO<sub>2</sub> glasses", Optik, 2021

Publication

&lt;1 %

71

Yasser B. Saddeek, Shams A.M. Issa, E.E. Altunsoy Guclu, O. Kilicoglu, G. Susoy, H.O. Tekin. "Alkaline phosphate glasses and synergistic impact of germanium oxide (GeO<sub>2</sub>) additive: Mechanical and nuclear radiation shielding behaviors", Ceramics International, 2020

&lt;1 %

72

A El-Denglawey, Shams A M Issa, Yasser B Saddeek, Wiam Elshami, M A Sayed, Reda Elsaman, N Tarhan, H O Tekin. "Mechanical, structural and nuclear radiation shielding competencies of some tellurite glasses reinforced with molybdenum trioxide", *Physica Scripta*, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

73

A. Aşkın. "Gamma and neutron shielding characterizations of the Ag<sub>2</sub>O–V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>–MoO<sub>3</sub>–TeO<sub>2</sub> quaternary tellurite glass system with the Geant4 simulation toolkit and Phy-X software", *Ceramics International*, 2020

Publication

---

<1 %

74

Amin Abd El-Moneim. "Correlation between acoustical and structural properties of glasses: Extension of Abd El-Moneim model for bioactive silica based glasses", *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 2016

Publication

---

<1 %

75

Berna Oto, Zekiye Madak, Esra Kavaz, Namık Yaltay. "Nuclear radiation shielding and mechanical properties of colemanite mineral doped concretes", *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids*, 2019

Publication

---

<1 %

76

Butson, M.J.. "Radiochromic film for medical radiation dosimetry", Materials Science & Engineering R, 20030925

Publication

---

<1 %

77

Chaitali V. More, Zainab Alsayed, Mohamed. S. Badawi, Abouzeid. A. Thabet, Pravina P. Pawar. "Polymeric composite materials for radiation shielding: a review", Environmental Chemistry Letters, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

78

Chengqian Li, Huan Qu, Jingbin Lu, H.D. Wang, Ruopu Li, Xinrui Liu. " Development of EJ-260/CsI(Tl) phoswich detector for – discrimination ", Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

79

D.E. Abulyazied, Shams A.M. Issa, Albandari W. Alrowaily, H.A. Saudi, Hesham M.H. Zakaly, E.S. Ali. "Polylactic acid tungsten trioxide reinforced composites: A study of their thermal, optical, and gamma radiation attenuation performance", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

80

Engineering Compendium on Radiation Shielding, 1968.

<1 %

81

Farah Laariedh, Nimitha S. Prabhu, M.I. Sayyed, Ashok Kumar, S. Alfadhli, Sudha D. Kamath. "Impact of replacement of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by TeO<sub>2</sub> on the physical, optical and gamma ray shielding characteristics of Pb-free B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-TeO<sub>2</sub>-ZnO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Li<sub>2</sub>O-MgO glass system", Optik, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

82

G Lakshminarayana. "Photoluminescence of Pr<sup>3+</sup>-, Dy<sup>3+</sup>- and Tm<sup>3+</sup>-doped transparent nanocrystallized KNbGeO<sub>5</sub> glasses", Journal of Physics D Applied Physics, 09/07/2008

Publication

---

<1 %

83

Gharam A. Alharshan, Z.A. Alrowaili, Ali H. Alomari, Imed Boukhris, Canel Eke, I.O. Olarinoye, M.S. Al-Buriahi. "Radiation shielding capacity of Li<sub>2</sub>O-SiO<sub>2</sub>/GeO<sub>2</sub> glasses doped with rare earth oxides: Nuclear security applications", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

84

Gharam A. Alharshan, Z.A. Alrowaili, I.O. Olarinoye, M.S. Al-Buriahi. "Holmium(III) oxide and its significant effects on the radiation shielding performance of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> +B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> +ZnSO<sub>4</sub> optical glasses", Optik, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

85 Hasan Eskalen, Yusuf Kavun, Mustafa Kavgacı. "Preparation and study of radiation shielding features of ZnO nanoparticle reinforced borate glasses", Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 2023  
Publication

---

86 I O Olarinoje, Sultan Alomairy, Chahkrit Sriwunkum, M S Al-Buriahi. " Effect of Ag O/V O substitution on the radiation shielding ability of tellurite glass system via XCOM approach and FLUKA simulations ", Physica Scripta, 2021  
Publication

---

87 J H Hubbell. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 01/1999  
Publication

---

88 M.H.A. Mhareb, M.I. Sayyed, M. Kh Hamad, Nouf Almousa, Nidal Dwaikat, Nimitha S. Prabhu, M. Monisha, Sudha D. Kamath. "The role of different modifiers on radiation shielding, optical, and physical properties for strontium boro-tellurite glass", Ceramics International, 2022  
Publication

---

89 Meirong Chen, Jianxun Liu, Zhishen Wu. " Effect of Fe O Concentration on the Properties of Basalt Glasses ", Journal of Natural Fibers, 2020

90

N. Effendy, M.H.M. Zaid, K.A. Matori, S.M. Iskandar, R. Hisam, M.N. Azlan, N.N. Yusof, H.M.H. Zakaly, S.A.M. Issa, Y.B. Saddeek. "Fabrication of novel BaO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass system: Comprehensive study on elastic, mechanical and shielding properties", *Progress in Nuclear Energy*, 2022

Publication

<1 %

91

Pardeep Kaur, Jagpreet Singh, Preet Kaur, Tejbir Singh. "Radiation shielding and sensing properties for some Eu<sup>3+</sup> doped bismophosphate glasses", *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2023

Publication

<1 %

92

Parminder Kaur, K.J. Singh, Murat Kurudirek, Sonika Thakur. "Study of environment friendly bismuth incorporated lithium borate glass system for structural, gamma-ray and fast neutron shielding properties", *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 2019

Publication

<1 %

93

R. El-Mallawany. "Structural Interpretations on tellurite glasses", *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 2000

Publication

<1 %

---

94

Ramandeep Kaur, Vijeta Bhatia, Dinesh Kumar, S.M.D Rao, Supreet Pal Singh, Ashok Kumar. "Physical, structural, optical and thermoluminescence behavior of Dy<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> doped sodium magnesium borosilicate glasses", Results in Physics, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

95

Recep Kurtulus, Taner Kavas, Iskender Akkurt, Kadir Gunoglu. "Theoretical and experimental gamma-rays attenuation characteristics of waste soda-lime glass doped with La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>", Ceramics International, 2020

Publication

&lt;1 %

96

S. Guru Prasad, K. Parthasaradhi, W. D. Bloomer. "Effective Atomic Numbers of Low-Z Compounds for Photon Interaction", Nuclear Science and Engineering, 2017

Publication

&lt;1 %

97

Y. Al-Hadeethi, M.I. Sayyed, Bahaudin M. Raffah, E. Bekyarova, Y.S. Rammah. "Optical properties and radiation shielding features of Er<sup>3+</sup> ions doped B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CaO glasses", Ceramics International, 2020

Publication

&lt;1 %

98

Z. A. Alrowaili, Atif Mossad Ali, Ateyyah M. Al-Baradi, M. S. Al-Buriahi, E. A. Abdel Wahab, Kh. S. Shaaban. "A significant role of MoO<sub>3</sub> on the optical, thermal, and radiation shielding

&lt;1 %

characteristics of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-Li<sub>2</sub>O glasses",  
Optical and Quantum Electronics, 2022

Publication

---

99

Adem Pehlivanli, Mustafa Hicabi Bölükdemir.  
"Investigation of the effects of biomaterials on  
proton Bragg peak and secondary neutron  
production by the Monte Carlo method in the  
slab head phantom", Applied Radiation and  
Isotopes, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

100

Dalal A. Aloraini, M. I. Sayyed, Ashok Kumar,  
Sabina Yasmin, Aljawhara H. Almuqrin.  
"Impact of ZnO Modifier Concentration on  
TeO<sub>2</sub> Glass Matrix for Optical and Gamma-  
Ray Shielding Capabilities", Materials, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

101

H.A. Saudi, Hesham M.H. Zakaly, Shams A.M.  
Issa, H.O. Tekin, M.M. Hessien, Y.S. Rammah,  
A.M.A. Henaish. "Fabrication, FTIR, physical  
characteristics and photon shielding efficacy  
of CeO<sub>2</sub> /sand reinforced borate glasses:  
Experimental and simulation studies",  
Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2022

Publication

---

<1 %

102

M. Humaid, J. Asad, A. Aboalatta, S.K.K. Shaat,  
H. Musleh, Kh. Ramadan, Y. Alajerami, N.  
Aldahoudi. "Gamma and neutron shielding  
properties of lead-borosilicate shielded glass;

<1 %

novel technique of solid waste recycling",  
Construction and Building Materials, 2023

Publication

---

103 Miysoon A. Alothman, Z.A. Alrowaili, Jamila S. Alzahrani, E.A. Abdel Wahab et al. "Significant influence of MoO<sub>3</sub> content on synthesis, mechanical, and radiation shielding properties of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Pb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glasses", Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2021

Publication

---

104 S. Rajesham, K. Chandra Sekhar, Md. Shareefuddin, J. Siva Kumar. "Synthesis, physical, optical and structural studies of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CdO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-PbF<sub>2</sub> glasses modified with MoO<sub>3</sub> ions", Optical and Quantum Electronics, 2022

Publication

---

105 W. Cheewasukhanont, P. Limkitjaroenporn, M.I. Sayyed, S. Kothan, H.J. Kim, J. Kaewkhao. "High density of tungsten gadolinium borate glasses for radiation shielding material: Effect of WO<sub>3</sub> concentration", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2022

Publication

---

106 Devendra Raj Upadhyay, Suffian Mohamad Tajudin, Raju Khanal. "Radiation attenuation and photon trajectories behaviors of

quadruple glass system: 60SiO<sub>2</sub>-35Pb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-(5-x) ZnO-xWO<sub>3</sub>", Ceramics International, 2023

Publication

---

107

G. El-Damrawi, A.M. Abdelghany, M.I. Abdelghany, M.A. Madshal. "Structural role of chromium sulfate in modified borate glasses and glass ceramics", Materialia, 2021

Publication

---

108

Levet, A., and Y. Özdemir. "Determination of effective atomic numbers, effective electrons numbers, total atomic cross-sections and buildup factor of some compounds for different radiation sources", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2017.

Publication

---

109

Mehmet Fatih Turhan, Ferdi Akman, Hasan Polat, Mustafa Recep Kaçal, İskender Demirkol. "Gamma-ray attenuation behaviors of hematite doped polymer composites", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2020

Publication

---

110

Pawar, P.P., S.R. Munishwar, and R.S. Gedam. "Physical and optical properties of Dy<sup>3+</sup>/Pr<sup>3+</sup> Co-doped lithium borate glasses for W-LED", Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2016.

Publication

---

111

Recep Kurtulus, Cansu Kurtulus, Taner Kavas. "Nuclear radiation shielding characteristics

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

and physical, optical, mechanical, and thermal properties of lithium-borotellurite glass doped with Rb<sub>2</sub>O", Progress in Nuclear Energy, 2021

Publication

---

---

Exclude quotes      On

Exclude matches      < 4 words

Exclude bibliography      On